568341

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

TWIANIE ROBERTS, INDIVIDUALLY

Case: 2:08-cv-10992 Judge: Feikens, John Referral MJ: Pepe, Steven D

Plaintiff,

Filed: 03-07-2008 At 03:58 PM CMP ROBERTS V CONTINENTAL AIR TRANSPORT,

CO., ET AL (EW)

VS.

CONTINENTAL AIR TRANSPORT, CO., A ILLINOIS CORPORATION, D.B.A. CONTINENTAL AIRPORT EXPRESS, INC., DENISE COLLINS, INDIVIDUALLY AND ROBERT JOHNIGAN, INDIVIDUALLY. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendants.

BRENT W. THOMAS (P67028) THE THURSWELL LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1000 Town Center, Suite 500 Southfield, MI 48075-1221 248-354-2222 (fax-2323)

COMPLAINT FOR RELIEF AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

NOW COMES the above named Plaintiff, TWIANIE ROBERTS by and through her attorneys, THE THURSWELL LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C., and complains against Defendants, CONTINENTAL AIR TRANSPORT, CO., D.B.A. CONTINENTAL AIRPORT EXPRESS, INC., a Illinois corporation, DENISE COLLINS, a Illinois resident, and ROBERT JOHNIGAN, a Illinois resident, as follows:

COUNT I

JURISDICTION & VENUE / GENERAL AVERMENTS

This action arises out of a motor vehicle accident which took place on March 31,
 2007 on North Rush Street in the City of Chicago, Cook County, State of Illinois.

FHE THURSWELL LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys at Law
1000 TOWN CENTER
SUITE 500
Southfield, Michigan 48075-1221
(248) 354-2222

Plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

- This Court has jurisdiction of the action under 28 U.S.C. §1332, which provides 2. for federal diversity jurisdiction. District Courts have original jurisdiction of all "civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy in this matter is greater than \$75,000.00.
- In diversity cases the federal courts generally apply state law in deciding 3. substantive questions and federal law in deciding procedural ones. Hanna v Plumer, 380 U.S. 460, 465, 471 (1965).
- All events and occurrences giving rise to the cause of action herein alleged 4. occurred in the City of Chicago, Cook County, State of Illinois and therefore Illinois substantive law controls in this matter.
- Venue is proper in this Court under the principles of forum non conveniens and 5. also because Plaintiff's principal place of residence is located within this District, City of Detroit, County of Wayne, State of Michigan.
- Plaintiff is a resident of the City of Detroit, County of Wayne, State of Michigan, 6. whose principal residence is 3842 Courville Street, Detroit, Michigan 48224.
- Defendant, CONTINENTAL AIR TRANSPORT CO. d/b/a CONTINENTAL 7. AIR EXPRESS, INC. (Hereinafter CONTINENTAL), has its principal place of business in the City of Chicago, Cook County, State of Illinois, and with a principal place of business located at 1200 W. 35th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60609

(248) 354-2222

- Defendant, CONTINENTAL's registered address is 1200 W. 35th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60609 and it's registered agent is John C. McCarthy.
- Defendant, ROBERT JOHNIGAN, is a resident of the City of Chicago, Cook 8. County, State of Illinois, whose principal residence is 5431 N. East River Road, Apt. #210, Chicago, Illinois 60656.
- Defendant, DENISE COLLINS, at all times pertinent to this matter was a shuttle 9. bus driver employed by Defendant, CONTINENTAL. Upon information and belief DENISE COLLINS is a resident of the City of Chicago, Cook County, State of Illinois.
- This accident took place on March 31, 2007 at 4:30 p.m., in the City of Chicago, 10. Cook County, State of Illinois.
- Defendant, DENISE COLLINS, was driving a passenger van on North Rush 11. Street in the City of Chicago. Defendant was driving a passenger van owned by Defendant, CONTINENTAL, 1200 W. 35th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60609.
- Defendant, ROBERT JOHNIGAN, was driving on North Rush Street in the City 12. of Chicago. Defendant was driving a 1996 Jeep Cherokee, VIN 1J4FT28S2TL199228, which upon information and belief, was owned by himself.
- Plaintiff was a passenger on a shuttle bus / passenger van being operated by 13. Defendant, DENISE COLLINS, and owned by Defendant, CONTINENTAL.
- CONTINENTAL, as the employer of DENISE COLLINS is liable to Plaintiff for 14. all damages sustained herein under the theory of Respondeat Superior.

COUNT II

- 15. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations and averments of Paragraphs 1 through 14 as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. At all times material herein Defendant, DENISE COLLINS, was the operator of a shuttle bus / passenger van owned by Defendant, CONTINENTAL.
- 17. At all times material herein Defendant, ROBERT JOHNIGAN, was the operator of a 1996 Jeep Cherokee.
- 18. On or about the 31st day of March, 2007, DENISE COLLINS was the agent, servant, and employee of the Defendant, CONTINENTAL, and was operating the above-mentioned vehicle with the implied and express consent of Defendant, CONTINENTAL.
- 19. On the above date and time, Defendant, DENISE COLLINS, was operating said vehicle within the scope and course of her employment as an employee of Defendant, CONTINENTAL.
- Due to the careless, reckless, and/or negligent actions of Defendants, DENISE COLLINS and ROBERT JOHNIGAN, the vehicle on which Plaintiff was a passenger and Defendant, ROBERT JOHNIGAN's vehicle were involved in a violent collision, whereby as a proximate result thereof, Plaintiff sustained severe and disabling injuries.
- 21. At the time herein complained of, the vehicles involved in the collision were operated by the Defendants, who caused all of which occurred without fault or negligence on the part of Plaintiff.

- The collision was caused by one or more of the following acts of negligence on 22. the part of the Defendant, ROBERT JOHNIGAN, as follows:
 - Operated said vehicle without having it under constant control;
 - Failed to make proper observation and failed to observe the presence of other users of the road and/or failed to take timely or proper action on such observation as was made in order to avoid a collision;
 - Operated said vehicle in a careless and heedless manner, without due regard for the rights and safety of others, particularly Plaintiff herein, and operated said vehicle without due care and circumspection and at a speed and in a manner so as to endanger or be likely to endanger persons and property;
 - d. Failed to maintain the horn, brakes, and other equipment of his motor vehicle in good working order and/or failed to sound the horn of his vehicle or to apply the brakes, when in sufficient time to take such action, he saw or should have seen that it was necessary to avoid a collision;
 - Overtook and struck the vehicle Plaintiff was a passenger on, which was parked facing the same direction on the roadway as Defendant's vehicle;
 - Operated said vehicle at a careless and imprudent rate of speed greater than was reasonable and proper, having due regard to the traffic, surface and width of said highway and of other conditions then existing, and operated the aforesaid vehicle at a speed greater than would permit it to be brought to a stop within an assured clear distance ahead, failed to bring the vehicle to a stop within an assured clear distance ahead;
 - Failure to yield the right of way;

- Failure to keep a proper lookout for other traffic;
- Failure to maintain the proper degree of control of the vehicle;
- Operating the vehicle in an unsafe, unreasonable, reckless or indiscriminate manner without due regard for the safety of others, particularly Plaintiff;
- k. Failure to avoid the collision;
- Others to be revealed through discovery in this matter.
- The collision was caused by one or more of the following acts of negligence on 23. the part of the Defendant, DENISE COLLINS, as follows:
 - Operated said vehicle without having it under constant control;
 - Failed to make proper observation and failed to observe the presence of other users of the road and/or failed to take timely or proper action on such observation as was made in order to avoid a collision;
 - Failure to keep a proper lookout for other traffic;
 - Failure to maintain the proper degree of control of the vehicle; d.
 - Operating the vehicle in an unsafe, unreasonable, reckless or indiscriminate manner without due regard for the safety of others, particularly Plaintiff;
 - Failure to avoid the collision;
 - Parked vehicle at an angle blocking the traveled portion of the roadway;
 - Others to be revealed through discovery in this matter.
- That as a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants, the 24. motor vehicle in which Plaintiff was a passenger was extensively damaged, to Plaintiff's great loss.

- 25. That Plaintiff sustained personal injuries as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' negligence, as herein alleged.
- As a proximate cause of the negligence of Defendants, Plaintiff was caused, and will be caused in the future to suffer the following injuries and damages:
 - a. Medical, doctor, hospital and medication bills in the past, present and reasonably anticipated future;
 - Loss of wage earnings and loss of wage earnings reasonably anticipated in the future;
 - Permanent and disfiguring disability;
 - d. Severe pain and disfigurement and disabling injuries to Plaintiff's body as a whole in the past, present and future;
 - e. Deprivation of ability to enjoy a normal life;
 - f. Severe bodily injuries which were painful, disabling, and necessitated medical care;
 - g. Possible aggravation of pre-existing conditions and/or reactivation of dormant conditions;
 - h. Inability to attend to the usual affairs of Plaintiff;
 - i. Inability to render services as formerly;
 - Plaintiff has been hampered in the enjoyment of the normal pursuit of life as before;
 - k. Mental anguish and shock to the nervous system;
 - l. Others revealed through discovery in this matter.

(248) 354-2222

- That all conditions precedent to the recovery have been fully performed or have 27. occurred.
- That Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer dire and imminent financial 28. hardship by Defendants' wrongful acts and in this regard is without an adequate remedy at law.
- The amount in controversy herein exceeds the sum of Seventy-Five Thousand 29. Dollars (\$75,000.00).

RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands Judgment and Relief as follows:

- Compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including all economic 1. and non-economic damages, including punitive damages of which Plaintiff has incurred as a result of Defendants' reckless conduct;
- Reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses incurred in connection with this 2. lawsuit;
- Pre- and post-judgment interest; and 3.
- Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary or appropriate. 4.

Respectfully submitted,

THE THURSWELL LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C.

NT W. THOMAS (P67028)

Attorney for Plaintiff

1000 Town Center, Suite 500

Southfield, MI 48075

248-354-2222

DATED:

March 6, 2008

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

THE THURSWELL LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C. Attorneys at Law 1000 TOWN CENTER SUITE 500 Southfield, Michigan 48075-1221 [248) 354-2222

TWIANIE ROBERTS,

Plaintiff,

Hon.

Case No.

VS.

INDIVIDUALLY

CONTINENTAL AIR TRANSPORT, CO., A ILLINOIS CORPORATION, D.B.A. CONTINENTAL AIRPORT EXPRESS, INC., DENISE COLLINS, INDIVIDUALLY AND ROBERT JOHNIGAN, INDIVIDUALLY.

Defendants.

BRENT W. THOMAS (P67028) THE THURSWELL LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1000 Town Center, Suite 500 Southfield, MI 48075-1221 248-354-2222 (fax-2323)

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, TWIANIE ROBERTS, by and through her attorneys, THE THURSWELL LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C., and hereby demands a trial by jury of the facts and issues involved in this cause of action.

Respectfully submitted,

THE THURSWELL LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C.

BRENT W. THOMÁS (P67028)

Attorney for Plaintiff

1000 Town Center, Suite 500

Southfield, MI 48075

248-354-2222

DATED: March 6, 2008

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose COOK COUNTY, ILL

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declerated the court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the use of Court for the use of the Clerk of Court for the use of Court

of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff WAYNE (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant COOK (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) Case: 2:08-cv-10992 Judge: Feikens, John			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) BRENT W. THOMAS P67028 1000 TOWN CENTER, SUITE 500 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075 248-354-2222 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Select One Box Only)				Referral MJ: Pepe, Steven D Filed: 03-07-2008 At 03:58 PM CMP ROBERTS V CONTINENTAL AIR TRANSPORT, CO., ET AL (EW) II. CIT.			
☐ I U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 2 U.S. Government	Guestion (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	(For Diversity Cases Guly) en of This State	of Business In Th	nis State Principal Place	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)		en or Subject of a	of Business III	Another State	
IV. NATURE OF SUI					BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcementof Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 370 Motor Vehicle 555 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 335 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	0 6 0 6 0 7 7 0 7 9 0 7 7 0 7 0 7 7 0 7 0 7 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 7 0	FEITURE/PENALTY 10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
Original D 2 R	Cite the U.S. Civil State Court Cite the U.S. Civil State 28 u.s.c. ss 1332 Brief description of cap PLAINTIFF, IN DEFI CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	ause: ENDANT'S PASSENGE IS A CLASS ACTION	Reor Reor e filing (R VAN, D	anothmened (specific form) anothmened (specific form) struck by ANOTHE EMAND \$	al statutes unless diversity): ER DEFENDANT'S VEHICL	n Judgment E y if demanded in complaint:	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	\MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE _	м ад. Л	лDGE	

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?
If yes, give	e the following information:
Court:	
Case No.:	
Judge:	
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)
lf yes, giv	e the following information:
Court:	
Case No.:	
Judge:	
Notes :	